arXiv:1612.08527v2 [math-ph] 15 Jan 2017

Approach to steady state in the heat equation and in the hyperbolic heat transfer equation

Günter Scharf *
Physics Institute, University of Zürich,
Winterthurerstr. 190 , CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract

We investigate the spherically symmetric 1D ablation problem. We show that the parabolic heat equation fails to describe the approach to steady state in infinite space. The hyperbolic equation shows an approach to steady state with a time constant given by the thermal relaxation time. However the infinite geometry is rather unphysical and gives rise to a so-called zero mode. Therefore we also consider the finite problem with a large boundary at constant temperature. Then both equations show approach to steady state, but only the hyperbolic equation seems to be physically correct for small times.

*e-mail: scharf@physik.uzh.ch

1 Introduction

It is well known that the usual heat equation

$$\varrho c\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \kappa\triangle T + Q \tag{1.1}$$

has the defect that heat can propagate instantaneously through space. In (1.1) T is temperature, t is time, $\triangle$ is the Laplace operator and Q describes the heat generation. The constant κ is the thermal conductivity, ϱ the mass density and c the specific heat. Still the equation is widely used to simulate heat transfer problems ([1] and references given there). The reason for this causality defect is that the heat equation is first order in time. A second defect related to this is the restriction of the initial value problem. In the Cauchy problem for (1.1) only the temperature T at time $t = 0$ can be specified. But in experiments also the temporal derivative $\partial T/\partial t$ at $t = 0$ must be adjusted to the experimental situation. This is possible in the hyperbolic heat transfer equation which is second order in time.

It is our aim to compare the two equations in an analytically solvable case of some practical interest. In the next section the 1D ablation problem in infinite space is solved for the heat equation and in sect.3 for the hyperbolic heat transfer equation. In sect.4 some special functions are discussed which appear in the solutions. In sect.5 we study the problem on a finite spherical volume. This is important because it turns out that the infinite problem cannot be viewed as the limit of the finite problem. So for real applications only this finite problem is relevant.

2 The 1D ablation problem according to the bio-heat equation

Let us consider a spherical electrode of radius r_0 in an infinite medium with electrical conductivity σ. Assuming the second dispersive electrode at infinity with potential $V = 0$ the potential in the medium is given by the simple solution of Laplace's equation

$$V(r) = \frac{V_0 r_0}{r} \tag{2.1}$$

where V_0 is the applied potential on the ablation electrode. The corresponding electric field strength is

$$E_r = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} = \frac{V_0 r_o}{r^2} \tag{2.2}$$

and the heat generation

$$Q = \sigma\frac{(V_0 r_0)^2}{r^4}. \tag{2.3}$$

With this constant heating we want to calculate the transient temperature $T(t, r)$ as solution of equation (1.1) which becomes

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{a}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Big(r^2\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\Big)+\frac{\beta}{r^4}, \quad a = \frac{\kappa}{\varrho c},$$

where β is the constant appearing in (2.3) divided by ϱc and a the thermal diffusivity.

We write the solution as

$$T(t, r) = T_1(r) + T_2(t, r), \tag{2.4}$$

where $T_1(r)$ is the steady state solution satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dr}\Big(r^2\frac{dT_1}{dr}\Big)= -\frac{\beta}{ar^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Assuming a temperature T_∞ at infinity we get

$$T_1(r) = T_\infty + \frac{C_1}{r} - \frac{b}{2r^2}. \quad b = \frac{\beta}{a} \tag{2.6}$$

The integration constant C_1 is fixed by the assumption of no heat flux at the electrode

$$\frac{dT_1}{dr}|_{r_0} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

which is reasonable for a small electrode. This gives the following steady state solution

$$T_1(r) = T_\infty + \frac{b}{r_0 r} - \frac{b}{2r^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

The maximal temperature is found at the electrode, of course

$$T_1(r_0) = T_\infty + \frac{b}{2r_0^2}. \tag{2.9}$$

The remaining homogeneous equation for T_2 is solved by separation of variables

$$T_2(t, r) = T_3(t)T_4(r). \tag{2.10}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{a}\frac{\dot{T}_3}{T_3} = \frac{T_4''}{T_4} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{T_4'}{T_4} = \text{const.} = -k^2$$

where the dot means time derivative and the prime radial derivative. This yields

$$T_3(t) = C_3 e^{-k^2 a t} \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$r^2 T_4'' + 2r T_4' + k^2 r^2 T_4 = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

This last equation is a special case of Bessel's equation with the solution

$$T_4(r) = \frac{1}{r}\Big(C_4 \sin kr + C_5 \cos kr\Big). \tag{2.13}$$

Now the general solution of our problem is given by

$$T(t, r) = T_\infty + \frac{b}{r_0 r} - \frac{b}{2r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\int_0^\infty d\,k\, e^{-k^2 a t}\Big(f_1(k) \sin kr + \\ + f_2(k) \cos kr\Big). \tag{2.14}$$

The unknown functions f_1 and f_2 in (2.14) are determined by the initial condition

$$T(0, r) = T_\infty, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\frac{b}{2r^2} - \frac{b}{r_0 r} = \frac{1}{r}\int_0^\infty [f_1(k) \sin kr + f_2(k) \cos kr] d\,k. \tag{2.16}$$

Here the l.h.side is only defined for $r > r_0$. To use the theorems on the *real* Fourier transform we continue the functions to $0 < r < r_0$. The even function $b/2r^2$ is continued by the constant $b/2r_0^2$. The inverse Fourier transform then yields

$$f_1(k) = \frac{b}{\pi}\int_{r_0}^\infty \frac{\sin kr}{r} dr + \frac{b}{\pi r_0^2}\int_0^{r_0} r \sin kr\, dr =$$

$$= \frac{b}{2} - \frac{b}{\pi}\mathrm{Si}(\,kr_0) + \frac{b}{\pi\,k^2 r_0^2}(\sin\,kr_0 - \,kr_0\cos\,kr_0) =$$

$$= \frac{b}{2} + O(\,kr_0). \tag{2.17}$$

Here Si is the sine integral. For small electrode radius r_0 this gives the following contribution to (2.14)

$$\int_0^\infty d\,k e^{-\,k^2 at} f_1(\,k)\sin\,kr = \frac{b}{4\sqrt{at}}\int_0^\infty dy\, e^{-y^2/4}\sin\frac{ry}{2\sqrt{at}} =$$

$$= \frac{b}{2\sqrt{at}} D_+\Big(\frac{r}{2\sqrt{at}}\Big). \tag{2.18}$$

Here $D_+(z)$ is the Dawson function (see Wikipedia and references given there).

The function $f_2(\,k)$ in (2.16) must clearly degenerate to a delta-distribution

$$f_2(\,k) = -\frac{2b}{r_0}\delta(\,k). \tag{2.19}$$

This contribution then cancels the $1/r$-term in (2.14) which is necessary for $t = 0$. Then the final result is

$$T(t,r) = T_\infty - \frac{b}{2r^2} + \frac{b}{2\sqrt{at}} D_+\Big(\frac{r}{2\sqrt{at}}\Big) + O(r_0). \tag{2.20}$$

The Dawson function has the following asymptotic expansion

$$D_+(x) = \frac{2}{2x} + \frac{1}{4x^3} + \frac{3}{8x^5} + \dots$$

for $x \gg 1$. Using this in (2.20), the first term cancels the negative contribution so that the result for small times seems to be correct. It shows the expected rise of the temperature above T_∞. However the Dawson function has a maximum at $x = 0.924...$, $D(x) = 0.541...$ and for smaller x it decreases to 0. As a consequence for large t the temperature (2.20) falls below T_∞ which is completely wrong ! The reason for this disaster is simple: The heat equation is first order in time. Therefore only one condition, namely the initial condition at $t = 0$ is at our disposal. The hyperbolic heat equation in the next section is second order in time, then we have two free constants of integration, so that we can get the right behavior of the solution at $t = 0$ *and* $t = \infty$.

3 Approach to steady state in the hyperbolic heat equation

According to Cattaneo [2] and Vernotte [3] a better description of heat transfer is obtained by assuming a time delay τ between heat flux q and temperature gradient

$$q(t+\tau, x) = -\kappa\nabla T(t.x). \tag{3.1}$$

Expanding up to first order in τ we have

$$q + \tau\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = -\kappa\nabla T$$

and

$$\nabla q = -\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla q - \nabla\kappa\nabla t$$

which is substituted into the energy conservation equation

$$-\nabla q(t,x) + Q(t,x) = \varrho c\frac{\partial T(t,x)}{\partial t}. \tag{3.2}$$

For constant thermal conductivity k this gives the hyperbolic heat equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \frac{\kappa}{\tau \varrho c}\triangle T = \frac{1}{\varrho c}\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{Q}{\tau \varrho c}. \tag{3.3}$$

For our 1D ablation problem this equation assumes the following form

$$\frac{\kappa}{\tau \varrho c}\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Big(r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r}T\Big) + \frac{d}{r^4} = \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2}. \tag{3.4}$$

With another boundary condition this problem has been studied in [4]. These authors use the method of Laplace transform which becomes very complicated. By the method of the previous section we get the solution in much simpler form. We do not include a switching of the heat generation Q by means of a Heaviside step function as in [4]. This would give an additional singular term $u_1(r)\delta(t)$. Then we have a so-called generalized Cauchy problem in the sense of distributions, which has been treated by Vladimirov [7]. The δ-term then fixes the initial condition at $t = 0$ as

$$\frac{\partial T(t,r)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} = u_1(r).$$

But in [4] the simple initial condition

$$\frac{\partial T(t,r)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

was used, this is a certain inconsistency. We consider the classical Cauchy problem where we have two initial conditions at $t = 0$ for free.

As before we write the solution in the form (2.4) where the steady state solution $T_1(r)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d}{dr}\Big(r^2\frac{dT_1}{dr}\Big) = -\frac{\beta}{ar^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

We have the same steady state solution (2.8)

$$T_1(r) = T_\infty + \frac{b}{r_0 r} - \frac{b}{2r^2}. \tag{3.7}$$

But the homogeneous equation now reads

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\Big(2r\frac{\partial T_2}{\partial r} + r^2\frac{\partial^2 T_2}{\partial r^2}\Big) = \frac{1}{a}\frac{\partial T_2}{\partial t} + \varepsilon\frac{\partial^2 T_2}{\partial t^2} \tag{3.8}$$

with

$$a = \frac{\varrho c}{\kappa}, \quad \varepsilon = a\tau. \tag{3.9}$$

For $\varepsilon = 0$ we are back at the parabolic heat equation.

Again equation (3.8) is solved by separating the variables

$$T_2(t,r) = T_3(t)T_4(r) \tag{3.10}$$

which yields

$$\frac{1}{a}\frac{\dot{T}_3}{T_3} + \varepsilon\frac{\ddot{T}_3}{T_3} = \frac{T_4''}{T_4} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{T_4'}{T_4} = -k^2. \tag{3.11}$$

The equation for T_4 is the same as before (2.12), but for T_3 we now have the second order equation

$$\varepsilon\ddot{T}_3 + \frac{1}{a}\dot{T}_3 = -k^2 T_3. \tag{3.12}$$

It has two exponential fundamental solutions

$$T_\pm(t) = C_\pm e^{\omega_\pm t} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\omega_\pm$ are the two solutions of the quadratic equation

$$\varepsilon\omega^2 + \frac{1}{a}\omega + k^2 = 0.$$

We have two negative roots

$$\omega_\pm = -\frac{1}{2a\varepsilon} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4a^2\varepsilon^2} - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}} = -\frac{1}{2\tau} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\tau^2} - \frac{a\,k^2}{\tau}}, \tag{3.14}$$

Then the general solution with the same boundary condition (2.7) as in the last section is given by

$$T(t,r) = T_\infty + \frac{b}{r_0 r} - \frac{b}{2r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\int_0^\infty d\,k e^{\omega_+ t}\Big[f_1(\,k)\sin\,kr +$$

$$+ f_2(\,k)\cos\,kr\Big] + \frac{1}{r}\int_0^\infty d\,k e^{\omega_- t}\Big[g_1(\,k)\sin\,kr + g_2(\,k)\cos\,kr\Big]. \tag{3.15}$$

To satisfy the initial condition $T = T_\infty$ we must again compensate the second term $\beta/r_0 r$ by some contribution from the integrals for $k = 0$. For $k = 0$ we have

$$\omega_+ = 0, \quad \omega_- = -\frac{1}{\tau}. \tag{3.16}$$

In the first case with $f_2(k) \sim \delta(\,k)$ we are in the same situation as in the last section and get no approach to steady state. So we take $f_2 = 0$. But now we can choose

$$g_2(\,k) = -\frac{b}{r_0}\delta(\,k) \tag{3.17}$$

and have the desired compensation for $t = 0$. But for $t \to \infty$ this term goes to 0 because ω_- (3.16) gives an exponential fall off $\sim \exp -t/\tau$. That means we obtain the correct steady state as far as the $1/r$ term is concerned.

Regarding the $1/r^2$ term we must determine f_1 and g_1 such that

$$\frac{b}{r} = \int_0^\infty d\,k\,[f_1(\,k) + g_1(\,k)]\sin\,kr. \tag{3.18}$$

As before (2.17) this gives

$$f_1(\,k) + g_1(\,k) = \frac{b}{2} + O(\,kr_0). \tag{3.19}$$

To determine f_1 and g_1 separately we need a second initial condition. Preliminary experiments show that the above condition (3.5) is physically correct, so we assume it. The condition (3.5) implies

$$\omega_+ f_1 + \omega_- g_1 = 0$$

so that

$$f_1(\,k) = \frac{b}{2}\frac{\omega_-}{\omega_- - \omega_+}, \quad g_1(\,k) = \frac{b}{2}\frac{\omega_+}{\omega_+ - \omega_-}. \tag{3.20}$$

Inserting the roots (3.14) we obtain the following final result

$$T(t,r) = T_\infty + \frac{b}{r_0 r}\Big(1 - e^{-t/\tau}\Big) - \frac{b}{2r^2} +$$

$$+ \frac{b}{4r}\int\limits_0^\infty d\,k\Big[e^{\omega_+ t}\Big(1 + (1 - 4a\tau\,k^2)^{-1/2}\Big) + e^{\omega_- t}\Big(1 - (1 - 4a\tau\,k^2)^{-1/2}\Big)\Big]\sin\,kr. \tag{3.21}$$

However, we note that this total solution does not satisfy the initial condition (3.5) of vanishing temporal derivative. We shall return to this point in sect.5.

The integral in (3.21) must be split at

$$k = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a\tau}} = \,k_0 \tag{3.22}$$

because the roots (3.14) become complex for $k > k_0$. For small τ only the integral

$$I_1 = \int\limits_0^{k_0} d\,k\Big(1 + (1 - 4a\tau\,k^2)^{-1/2}\Big)e^{\omega_+ t}\sin\,kr \tag{3.23}$$

is important. Extending the upper limit to infinity and expanding the square root we get

$$I_1 = \int\limits_0^\infty d\,k(2 + 2a\tau\,k^2)e^{-at\,k^2}\sin\,kr =$$

$$= 2(1 - \tau\partial_t)\int\limits_0^\infty d\,k\,e^{-at\,k^2}\sin\,kr. \tag{3.24}$$

This gives the Dawson function D_+ (2.18) again, up to a correction $O(\tau)$

$$I_1 = 2(1 - \tau\partial_t)\frac{1}{\sqrt{at}}D_+\Big(\frac{r}{2\sqrt{at}}\Big). \tag{3.25}$$

So for small thermal relaxation time τ we recover the term in the solution (2.20) of the usual heat equation.

For large τ the integral over $[\,k_0, \infty]$ gives the leading contribution. Since we have two complex conjugate roots (3.14) we obtain a real part

$$I_2 = \int\limits_{k_0}^\infty = 2\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{k_0}^\infty d\,k\Big(1 - i(4a\tau\,k^2 - 1)^{-1/2}\Big)e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}(1-\sqrt{4a\tau\,k^2-1}}\sin\,kr =$$

$$= 2e^{-t/2\tau}\int\limits_{k_0}^\infty d\,k\,\Big[\cos\Big(\frac{t}{2\tau}\sqrt{4a\tau\,k^2 - 1}\Big) + \frac{\sin(\frac{t}{2\tau}\sqrt{4a\tau\,k^2 - 1})}{\sqrt{4a\tau\,k^2 - 1}}\Big]\sin\,kr. \tag{3.26}$$

Here the periodic time dependence indicates the appearance of thermal waves [4] which, however, are damped with a time constant 2τ. This damping is essential for the approach to steady state The integral (3.26) is investigated in the next section.

4 Some special functions

According to (3.21-23) we must calculate the integrals

$$I_1^{\pm} = \int_0^{k_0} dk \Big(1 \pm (1 - 4a\tau k^2)^{-1/2}\Big) e^{\omega_{\pm} t} \sin kr. \tag{4.1}$$

With the new integration variable

$$x = 2\sqrt{a\tau}k \tag{4.2}$$

we get the dimensionless form

$$I_1^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a\tau}} \int_0^1 dx \Big(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\Big) e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}(1 \mp \sqrt{1-x^2})} \sin\Big(\frac{rx}{2\sqrt{a\tau}}\Big) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a\tau}} I_1^{\pm}(s,u) \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$s = \frac{t}{2\tau}, \quad u = \frac{r}{2\sqrt{a\tau}} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$I_1^{\pm}(s,u) = \int_0^1 dx \Big(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\Big) e^{-s \pm s\sqrt{1-x^2}} \sin ux =$$

$$= \pm e^{-s}(\partial_s + 1) S_1(\pm s, u). \tag{4.5}$$

The remaining integral

$$S_1(s,u) = \int_0^1 dx\, e^{s\sqrt{1-x^2}} \frac{\sin ux}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \tag{4.6}$$

can be easily calculated by numerical integration, together with its derivatives. But it seems not possible to write it in terms of known special functions.

With the substitution $y = \sqrt{1-x^2}$ we get the form

$$S_1(s,u) = \int_0^1 dy\, \frac{\sin(u\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} e^{sy} \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial u} = \int_0^1 dy\, s^{sy} \cos(u\sqrt{1-y^2}). \tag{4.8}$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial s} = \int_0^1 dx\, e^{s\sqrt{1-x^2}} \sin ux = \int_0^1 dy\, \frac{y}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \sin(u\sqrt{1-y^2}) e^{sy} =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{u} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dy} (\cos u\sqrt{1-y^2}) e^{sy} dy \tag{4.9}$$

allows partial integration which brings us back to (4.8), so that we get the following differential equation for S_1:

$$u\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial s} = s\frac{\partial S_1}{du} + \cos u - e^s. \tag{4.10}$$

For the approach to steady state we need a bound of $S_1(s,u)$ for large s. Such a bound is obtained by means of the confluent hypergeometric function [5]

$$|S_1(s,u)| < \int_0^1 \frac{e^{sx}}{\sqrt{1-x}}dx = 2M(1,\frac{3}{2},s). \tag{4.11}$$

Using the asymptotic behavior of $M(a,b,s)$ [5] we get for positive $s > 0$

$$|S_1(s,u)| < \sqrt{\pi}e^s s^{-1/2}(1+O(s^{-1})). \tag{4.12}$$

The exponential factor is cancelled in (4.5) so that we find a slow approach to steady state with $s^{-1/2}$. The other term with negative s behaves better

$$|S_1(-s,u)| < 2M(1.\frac{3}{2},-s) < \frac{1}{s} + O(s^{-2}). \tag{4.13}$$

This leads to an exponential decrease in (4.5).

To calculate the thermal wave integral (3.26) we introduce the second special function

$$S_2(s,u) = \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} \sin(s\sqrt{x^2-1}) \sin ux. \tag{4.14}$$

This is obtained from (3.26) with the substitution (4.2) again. With the new integration variable $y = \sqrt{x^2-1}$ we get a Fourier - sine integral

$$S_2(s,u) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y^2+1}} \sin(u\sqrt{y^2+1}) \sin sy. \tag{4.15}$$

The same integral with two cosine or one sine and one cosine function can be expressed by Bessel functions [6], but the integral (4.15) cannot. This might indicate that it is a new special function. As it stands the integral is not well suited for numerical integration. We get a better form by using the Euler substitution

$$\sqrt{y^2+1} = yx + 1 \tag{4.16}$$

in

$$J_2^\pm = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y^2+1}} \cos(u\sqrt{y^2+1} \pm sy). \tag{4.17}$$

This follows from (4.15) by simple trigonometric formulas. With the substitution (4.16) we find

$$J_2^\pm(s,u) = 2\int_0^1 dx\, \frac{1}{1-x^2} \cos\Big(\frac{ux^2 \pm 2sx + u}{1-x^2}\Big) \tag{4.18}$$

which can be easily calculated by numerical integration. For the special case $u = s$ we obtain

$$J_2^\pm(s,s) = 2\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{1-x^2} \cos\Big(s\frac{1+x}{1-x}\Big) =$$

$$= \int_1^\infty dz\, \frac{\cos sz}{z} = -\mathrm{Ci}(s), \tag{4.19}$$

which is the cosine-integral [5]

To obtain a bound for $J_2^\pm$ we use partial integration again:

$$J_2^\pm = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{uy \pm s\sqrt{y^2+1}} \frac{d}{dy} \sin(u\sqrt{y^2+1} \pm sy) =$$

$$= \mp \frac{\sin u}{s} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(u\sqrt{y^2+1} \pm sy)}{(uy \pm s\sqrt{y^2+1})^2} \Big(u \pm \frac{sy}{\sqrt{y^2+1}}\Big)\, dy. \tag{4.20}$$

This decreases as $1/s$ for fixed u or r.

5 Finite spherical geometry

In the results of the previous sections the zero-mode $k = 0$ (3.16) has played an important role. This mode only appears in the infinite system. To be physically relevant we must check whether the infinite system can be considered as a limit of a large finite system. For this purpose let us assume a large spherical boundary of radius r_1 which is kept at a constant temperature T_{01}, r_0 is the radius of the electrode as before. We require the two boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}(t, r_0) = 0, \quad T(t, r_1) = T_{01}. \tag{5.1}$$

The steady state solution satisfying these conditions is now given by

$$T_1(r) = T_{01} - \frac{b}{r_0 r_1} + \frac{b}{2r_1^2} + \frac{b}{r_0 r} - \frac{b}{2r^2}. \tag{5.2}$$

At the electrode we have the higher temperature

$$T_1(r_0) = T_{01} + \frac{b}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{r_0} - \frac{1}{r_1}\Big)^2.$$

The remaining time dependent solution $T_2(t, r)$ is again factorized $= T_3(t)T_4(r)$ (2.10) where T_4 is the solution of

$$r^2 T_4'' + 2rT_4' = -k^2 r^2 T_4 \tag{5.3}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial T_4}{\partial r}(r_0) = 0, \quad T_4(r_1) = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

We transform the equation (5.3) into a selfadjoint form with the substitution

$$y(r) = rT_4(r) \tag{5.5}$$

yielding

$$-y'' = k^2 y \tag{5.6}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$y(r_1) = 0, \quad r_0 y'(r_0) - y(r_0) = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

This is a simple standard Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem [8] in the Hilbert space $L^2([r_0, r_1])$. It has a discrete spectrum of eigenvalues k_n in contrast to the infinite problem in the previous sections. The number $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ gives the number of zeros of the eigenfunctions y_n.

The first boundary condition (5.7) is immediately satisfied by

$$y_n(r) = \sin k_n(r_1 - r) \tag{5.8}$$

and the second condition gives the transcendental equation

$$\tan k_n(r_1 - r_0) = -k_n r_0. \tag{5.9}$$

This equation can easily be discussed graphically. It seems as if $k = 0$ were the lowest eigenvalue, but a glance to (5.8) shows that this is not the case because $y_n = 0$. To get the eigenvalues analytically we put

$$k_n(r_1 - r_0) = (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta. \tag{5.10}$$

Then (5.9) leads to

$$\tan k_n(r_1 - r_0) = -\cot\delta = -\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta}{3} + \ldots = -(2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{r_0}{r_1 - r_0}$$

which for large n gives

$$\delta = \frac{2(r_1 - r_0)}{(2n+1)\pi r_0}$$

so that

$$k_n = \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2(r_1 - r_0)} + \frac{2}{(2n+1)\pi r_0} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big). \tag{5.11}$$

In the infinite volume limit $r_1 \to \infty$ the first term goes to 0, but the second term does not. There remains a finite gap between $k = 0$ and the lowest eigenvalue k_0. That means the zero-mode of the previous sections is exceptional and not physical. The reason is that the boundary condition (5.7) at r_0 is not fulfilled for all t in the infinite problem.

For the selfadjoint eigenvalue problem we have expansion and completeness theorems [8]. The eigenfunctions y_n for different n are orthogonal and complete in $L^2([r_0, r_1])$. To normalize them we compute

$$\int_{r_0}^{r_1} \sin^2 k_n(r - r_1)dr = \frac{r_1 - r_0}{2} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big)$$

so that

$$\varphi_n(r) = \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{r_1 - r_0}} + O(\frac{1}{n^2})\Big)\sin k_n(r_1 - r) \tag{5.12}$$

is a complete orthonormal system. The general solution of the finite ablation problem for the hyperbolic heat equation is now given by

$$T(t,r) = T_{01} - \frac{b}{r_0 r_1} + \frac{b}{2r_1^2} + \frac{b}{r_0 r} - \frac{b}{2r^2} +$$

$$+\frac{1}{r}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big[a_n\varphi_n(r)e^{\omega_n^+ t} + b_n\varphi_n(r)e^{\omega_n^- t}\Big]. \tag{5.13}$$

Here the two roots (3.14) appear again with $k = k_n$. For the parabolic equation we have only the terms with $\omega_n^+ = -ak_n^2$. To satisfy the initial condition

$$T(0,r) = T_{01} \tag{5.14}$$

we must expand the function

$$\frac{b}{2r} - \frac{b}{r_0} + r\Big(\frac{b}{r_0 r_1} - \frac{b}{2r_1^2}\Big) = \sum_n (a_n + b_n)\varphi_n(r)$$

into a Fourier series. To do so we need the L^2-scalar products of φ_n with the functions 1, r, $1/r$ which can easily be calculated. The Fourier coefficients $a_n + b_n$ are of the order $1/n$ which gives a slow convergence of the series. For large t the exponential factors in (5.13) give a rapid convergence.

For the hyperbolic equation we again require the second initial condition

$$\frac{\partial T(t,r)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{5.15}$$

which yields

$$b_n = -\frac{\omega_n^+}{\omega_n^-} a_n. \tag{5.16}$$

In the parabolic case this condition is violated. This seems to be in contradiction to experiments. The reason for condition (5.15) is the finite propagation speed of the heat which follows from the characteristics of the hyperbolic equation (3.8) [7] [9]. The characteristics are given by

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\varrho c\tau}}. \tag{5.17}$$

6 Conclusions

The approach to steady state for large times is determined by the exponential term $\exp(\omega t)$ with frequency ω closest to 0 in (5.13). Leaving aside unrealistically large τ, this is given by

$$\omega_0^+ = -ak_0^2 \approx -a\Big(\frac{\pi}{2(r_1 - r_0)} + \frac{2}{\pi r_0}\Big)$$

where τ has cancelled. Therefore, in contrast to the infinite geometry in sect.2-4, both equations show the same approach to steady state in the finite system.

For small times the solutions of the two equations differ considerably. One reason for this is the different initial condition (5.15). A second interesting difference has been observed by Lopez Molina et al.[4]. The temperature T at fixed radius r shows "cuspidal-type" singularities as a function of time t. These are discontinuities in the derivative $\partial_t T(t,r)$. The origin of this phenomenon is the change of branch in the characteristic frequencies $\omega_n^\pm$ which is connected with the appearance of thermal waves. Indeed, according to (3.26) we must consider

$$F(t) = \mathrm{Re}\Big(e^{\sqrt{1-x^2}t}\Big) = \begin{cases} e^{\sqrt{1-x^2}t} & \text{if } x < 1 \\ \cos(\sqrt{x^2-1}t) & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

This function is continuous at $t = 0$, but $\partial_t F(t)$ makes a jump. The theory of characteristics [9] implies that such a discontinuity travels through the medium with the velocity (5.17).

References

[1] González-Suárez A, Berjano E, Guerra JM, Gerardo-Giorda L, Computational Modeling of Open-Irrigated Electrodes for Radiofrequency Cardiac Ablation Including Blood Motion-Saline Flow Interaction,PloS ONE 11(3): e0150356, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150356

[2] Cattaneo C.R. (1958), Sur une forme de equation de la chaleur eliminant le paradoxe d'une propagation instantanee, Comptes Rendus 247 (4) 431

[3] Vernotte P. (1958),Les paradoxes de la theorie continue de l'equation de la chaleur, Comptes Rendus 246 (22) 3154

[4] Lopez Molina JA,Rivera MJ, Trujillo M, Berjano EJ, (2008) Effect of the thermal wave in radiofrequency ablation modeling: an analytic study, Phys. Med. Biol. 53, 1447-1462

[5] Abramowitz M, Stegun IA, Handbook of mathematical functions, Dover Publications 1965

[6] Erdelyi A, Oberhettinger MF, Tricomi FG, Tables of integral transforms, vol.1 and 2, McGraw-Hill, New York

[7] Vladimirov WS, Equations of mathematical physics; Moscow, Mir Publishers, 1984

[8] Coddington E.A., Levinson N., Theory of ordinary differential equations, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc. 1955

[9] Courant R, Hilbert D, Methods of mathematical physics,vol.II, Wiley, New York 1989